Chapter 2: Natural Disasters and Sustainable Development

This chapter addresses the importance of the link between disaster reduction frameworks and development initiatives, based on the disaster trends in 2006 as well as the trends from 1975 to 2006. As we know, various UN agencies, international institutions, and governments have placed high priority on natural disasters and sustainable development. Hence, it is of paramount importance that efforts be made to analyze disaster trends in relation to variables of sustainable development, primarily the Human Development Index and other economic factors, especially in countries that are affected by disasters. These trends are discussed below.

2.1 Human Development and Natural Disasters

The human development level (HDL) is a measure of factors that express a country's level of development, including its literacy rate, gross school enrollment rate, per capita income, and life expectancy. These variables are significant in terms of disaster mitigation, preparedness planning, and disaster reduction and management strategies. Higher HDLs will make planning and management strategies and follow-up activities easier in post-disaster periods. A country's HDL is categorized as high (HHD: 0.8 or higher), medium (MHD: 0.5 to 0.79) or low (LHD: lower than 0.5), in accordance with UNDP specifications. This section presents disaster data according to the HDL.

Income levels are also categorized as high (annual per capita income US\$9,266 and above), upper middle (annual per capita income \$2,996-\$9,265), lower middle (annual per capita income \$756-\$2,995) and low (annual per capita income less than \$755) according to the World Bank definitions. The figures below show the disaster characteristics by income level, both globally and regionally.

Figures 12, 13A, 13B, 14, 15A, 15B, 16, 17A, and 17B show the relationship between the HDL and the impacts that disaster-related human suffering and economic losses have on societies and economies. Figures 12, 14, and 16 show the number of people killed, the number of total affected people, and the amount of damage, respectively, by HDL for the period 1975 to 2006. Figures marked as A and B show the ratio of people killed to population, total affected people per million population, and the ratio of damage to GNI for the world (A) and for Asia (B). Disaster trends for 2006, as in the previous years, clearly show that human loss and suffering were considerably higher in countries with low human development (LHD), as the ratios of people killed and people affected to the total population were considerably higher in LHD countries than in medium human development (MHD) or high human development (HHD) countries.

In 2003, however, a major shift occurred around the world. An unexpected heat wave caused tremendous human suffering in the HHD countries of Europe. The 2004 and 2005 disaster trends once

again stressed the importance of disaster reduction in the developing countries. Similarly, the trends in 2006 further indicate that countries with low and medium human development levels tend to suffer more serious human and economic losses. The figures for the year 2006, as shown below, clearly illustrate this important point. Since the human development index reflects a country's literacy rate, life expectancy, and per capita income, improving these variables could contribute immensely to reducing the impact of natural disasters. Although considerable disaster damage was sustained in the HHD countries, the impact of disasters, in terms of human and economic losses, were more severe in the MHD and LHD countries. Since developing and less developed countries (LDCs) tend to have low and medium HDLs, and thus tend to have elevated levels of human and economic losses, their development efforts and ability to compete within a scenario of global development are limited. Better disaster management approaches are therefore needed in these regions.

It is also quite evident from the following figures that the ratios of people killed and total affected people to the total population are high in the LHD and MHD countries, stressing the importance of incorporating disaster reduction approaches into mainstream national policies. Although the real value of damage is high in higher income countries, the ratio of damage to GNI is higher in the middle income countries. Likewise, although the actual human losses are higher in the MHD countries, the LHD countries are shown to suffer more when the human loss and suffering are expressed as the ratio to the total population. The 2006 trend is similar to those of 2004 and 2005 in this aspect.

Figure 12: Number of People Killed (Thousands) by Human Development Level, 1975-2006 (World)





Figure 13A: Ratio of People Killed to Population by Human Development Level, 2006 (World)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

Figure 13B: Ratio of People Killed to Population by Human Development Level, 2006 (Asia)



These figures clearly show that the majority of human losses were reported in countries with a low level of human development (due to the disasters in the vulnerable Asian region). This is consistent for figures worldwide.





Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

Figure 15A: Total Affected People Per Million Population by Human Development Level, 2006 (World)





Figure 15B: Total Affected People Per Million Population by Human Development Level, 2006 (Asia)

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

Figure 16: Amount of Damage (US\$ Billions) by Human Development Level, 1975-2006 (World)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006



Figure 17A: Ratio of Amount of Damage to GNI (%) by Human Development Level, 2006 (World)

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

Figure 17B: Ratio of Amount of Damage to GNI (%) by Human Development Level, 2006 (Asia)



2.2 Gender Issues and Natural Disaster Impacts

In addition to what we have seen above with respect to overall human development and the impact of natural disasters, it is also of paramount importance that efforts be made to examine the relationship between gender and natural disasters. Here we examine the Female Human Development Index, which was extracted from the general Human Development Index, in relation to disasters. Generally speaking, countries with lower female human development (LFHD) report the most human suffering, and tend to have higher ratios of people killed and total affected people to the total population than countries with higher female human development levels (HFHD). The trend is very similar to the trend in general human development.

Accordingly, as in the previous years, in 2006 both the ratio of the people killed to the total population were high in countries with low and medium Female Human Development indicators due to the earthquakes, floods, and wind storms that struck many countries in Asia, especially the earthquake in Indonesia, floods in China, windstorms and slides in Philippines and flood in India (Figures 18, 19A, and 19B). Moreover, the ratio of total affected people to the total population was high in countries with low and medium female human development, as shown in Figures 20, 21A, and 21B. Further, Figures 22, 23A, and 23B indicate that damage as a proportion of GNI is also relatively high in the low and medium female human development countries, although the amounts of actual damage are higher in high female human development countries. These figures highlight the importance of gender-related planning and mitigation strategies and approaches in the field of disaster management, especially in countries with relatively low human development levels.

Gender powerfully shapes the human response to disasters, both directly and indirectly. Studies have shown that women are hit hard by the social impacts of disasters, suggesting that women should play a major role in post-disaster activities if proper integration of gender issues and disaster management is achieved. The reality is that women are always identified as active and resourceful disaster respondents, but are often regarded as helpless victims. Since disaster mitigation and risk management activities should be incorporated into development strategies, it is imperative to prevent gender bias and ensure women's participation in the field of development. Figure 18: Number of People Killed (Thousands) by Female Human Development Level, 1975-2006 (World)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

Figure 19A: Ratio of People Killed to Population by Female Human Development Level, 2006 (World)



Figure 19B: Ratio of People Killed to Population by Female Human Development Level, 2006 (Asia)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

The above figures also indicate that the majority of human losses, both on a global and regional level, were sustained in countries with low and medium levels of female human development. This is attributed to the impact of disasters in vulnerable regions of Asia-Pacific and Africa.







Figure 21A: Total Affected People Per Million Population by Female Human Development Level, 2006 (World)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

Figure 21B: Total Affected People Per Million Population by Female Human Development Level, 2006 (Asia)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

Figure 22: Amount of Damage (US\$ Billions) by Female Human Development Level, 1975-2006 (World)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and UNDP, 2006

Figure 23A: Ratio of Damage to GNI (%) by Female Human Development Level, 2006 (World)





Figure 23B: Ratio of Damage to GNI (%) by Female Human Development Level, 2006 (Asia)



2.3 The Economics of Natural Disasters

This section focuses on income levels as they relate to disaster impacts, based on the disaster trends in 2006. A country's income level is determined by its per capita GNI and is analyzed here in relation to the disaster statistics. The figures below (24 to 29B) show this relationship and once again indicate that the majority of human losses and affected people are reported in low and lower middle income countries. Although this could be attributed to the impacts of earthquake, windstorms and slides and flooding in the low-income and less developed Asian countries in 2006, the statistics are consistent with the longer-term trends. Figures 24, 26, and 28 show the global trends in the number of people killed, the total affected, and the amount of damage sustained, respectively, by income level for the period 1975-2006. Further, figures marked A and B show the ratio of these characteristics to the total population for the world (A) and Asia (B) in 2006.

Generally, though the real economic losses from disasters are higher in high-income countries due to their developed infrastructural framework and economic establishments that have accumulated social capital, disaster-related losses are more substantial in developing and lower-income countries, especially when viewed as a proportion of the GNIs of those countries. When human losses and suffering are considered, the low and lower middle income countries suffer greatly, as is further shown in the figures below. This firmly emphasizes the need for a holistic disaster management approach that gives due consideration to a country's disaster vulnerability, the impact and extent of disaster-related damage, and the impact of disasters on human development and the economy. This is clearly shown in Figures 28, 29A, and 29B.

The socio-economic impacts of disasters vary by the type of disaster, the disaster period (length), and the post-disaster recovery period. A country's income level plays a crucial role in determining how long it will take for a community to recover from a disaster. In addition, the national income level and magnitude of the socio-economic impacts of a disaster are proportionally related, and the ratio of such impacts to the country's GNI demonstrates the negative effects of disasters upon low and lower middle income countries. This explains the shapes of Figures 24 to 29B, as the ratio of human and economic losses to the total population and income level (GNI) is high in the low-income countries and low in the high-income countries. The disasters that have occurred in the Asian countries of India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and China, and in some countries in Africa, have contributed significantly to this trend. The disasters that occurred in the US (hurricanes) and the extreme temperatures experienced in Europe contributed to the heavy damage sustained in the high-income countries, in proportion to their high GNIs. The figures below show these trends for the world and the Asian region.

Note: LI: Lower Income, LMI: Lower Middle Income, UMI: Upper Middle Income and HI: High Income.

Figure 24: Number of People Killed (Thousands) by Income Classification, 1975-2006 (World)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and World Bank, 2006

Figure 25A: Ratio of People Killed to Population by Income Level, 2006 (World)







Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and World Bank, 2006

It is clearly known from above Figures that the majority of the human loss was in the low and lower middle income countries in the World as well as in Asia and these are due to 2006 disasters in the vulnerable regions of Asia, Oceania and Africa.









Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and World Bank, 2006

Figure 27B: Total Affected People Per Million Population by Income Level, 2006 (Asia)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and World Bank, 2006

Figure 28: Amount of Damage (US\$ Billions) by Income Level, 1975-2006 (World)



Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and World Bank, 2006

Figure 29A: Ratio of Damage to GNI (%) by Income Level, 2006 (World)





Figure 29B: Ratio of Amount of Damage to GNI (%) by Income Level, 2006 (Asia)

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium and World Bank, 2006

Figure 28 shows the actual amount of damage sustained by countries with different income levels. Figures 29A and 29B depict the ratio of damage to GNI by income level. Clearly, the ratio of damage to GNI is high in the low income countries, mainly due to the various disasters that have occurred in the most vulnerable countries. In Asia, this ratio is high in the low and lower middle income countries, primarily due to the earthquakes, typhoons, and floods experienced by Indonesia, Philippines, China and India. These trends are in consistent with long-term trends and those in previous years.

2.4 Disaster Classifications and the Impact of Development Characteristics

We have classified disasters into geo-physical, hydro-meteorological, and other disasters. Earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, earthquake-induced tsunamis, and landslides are categorized as geo-physical disasters, while wind storms, floods, extreme temperatures, droughts, and heavy rain-induced landslides are categorized as hydro-meteorological disasters. All other disasters, including famines and epidemics, are included in the "other" category. The tables below show the disaster classifications and their impact on development for the period 1975-2006. Tables 10A, 10B, 11A, and 11B show the disaster classifications by region and vice versa. Similarly, Tables 12A, 12B, 13A, and 13B show the disaster classification by income classification and vice versa. Finally, Tables 14A, 14B, 15A, and 15B show the disaster patterns by human development level.

These tables make it clear that hydro-meteorological disasters produce the largest numbers of total affected people in Asia, while geo-physical disasters produce the largest numbers of people killed. The region is vulnerable to both types of disasters due to its geographical position and socio-economic characteristics. Africa is more vulnerable to hydro-meteorological disasters, as it is prone to prolonged droughts. The Americas, Asia, Oceania and Europe sustain most of their economic damage from hydro-meteorological disasters, with high-income countries like the US, Japan, and the EU countries and Australia in Oceania facing heavy losses caused by wind storms, floods, and extreme temperatures. So far the heaviest damage in Asia was caused by Japan's 1995 Great Hanshin-Awaji Earthquake and the 2004 Indian Ocean Tsunami. This year (2006), the economic damages and human sufferings were also from floods in China and earthquake in Indonesia.

Similarly, low income and lower middle income countries tend to be most vulnerable to hydro-meteorological disasters, but also moderately vulnerable to geo-physical disasters. Low and medium human development countries follow the same trend. Since hydro-meteorological disasters tend to be annual events, they cause much more damage to the low and medium human development countries than geo-physical disasters. The following tables clearly show these trends by region, human development level, and income level. Once again, the facts underscore the need to integrate disaster reduction strategies and human development efforts, and the need for governments to take note of this important concept and ensure its inclusion in their policy frameworks.

Dis Classification	Continent	Count of DisNo	Count of DisNo Sum of Killed		Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
Geo Phy Dis	Africa	72	9,183	2,087,689	8,755,608
	Americas	211	66,595	13,273,112	58,749,032
	Asia	484	791,205	79,037,713	259,632,686
	Europe	175	8,724	2,849,335	34,424,376
	Oceania	102	2,976	318,876	2,907,400
Geo Phy Dis Total		1,044	878,683	97,566,725	364,469,102
Hyd Met Dis	Africa	1,014	580,418	355,573,021	10,088,950
	Americas	1,612	100,136	142,509,978	387,878,247
	Asia	2,492	430,718	4,770,017,633	285,826,431
	Europe	892	44,849	24,127,118	171,433,824
	Oceania	419	1,547	19,505,345	21,640,121
Hyd Met Dis Total		6,429	1,157,668	5,311,733,095	876,867,573
Others	Africa	672	118,252	42,702,726	102,430
	Americas	170	14,496	2,998,617	5,670,700
	Asia	314	46,139	18,781,508	19,240,824
	Europe	109	768	3,528,539	3,118,249
	Oceania	38	402	80,799	1,162,006
Others Total		1,303	180,057	68,092,189	29,294,209
Grand Total		8,776	2,216,408	5,477,392,009	1,270,630,884

Table 10A: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts by Disaster Classification and Region

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, 2006

Table 10B: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts I	y Disaster Classification and Reg	ion (Percentages)
--	-----------------------------------	-------------------

Dis Classification	Continent	Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
Geo Phy Dis	Africa	0.82%	0.41%	0.04%	0.69%
	Americas	2.40%	3.00%	0.24%	4.62%
	Asia	5.52%	35.70%	1.44%	20.43%
	Europe	1.99%	0.39%	0.05%	2.71%
	Oceania	1.16%	0.13%	0.01%	0.23%
Geo Phy Dis Total	Geo Phy Dis Total			1.78%	28.68%
Hyd Met Dis	Africa	11.55%	26.19%	6.49%	0.79%
	Americas	18.37%	4.52%	2.60%	30.53%
	Asia	28.40%	19.43%	87.09%	22.49%
	Europe	10.16%	2.02%	0.44%	13.49%
	Oceania	4.77%	0.07%	0.36%	1.70%
Hyd Met Dis Total		73.26%	52.23%	96.98%	69.01%
Others	Africa	7.66%	5.34%	0.78%	0.01%
	Americas	1.94%	0.65%	0.05%	0.45%
	Asia	3.58%	2.08%	0.34%	1.51%
	Europe	1.24%	0.03%	0.06%	0.25%
	Oceania	0.43%	0.02%	0.00%	0.09%
Others Total		14.85%	8.12%	1.24%	2.31%
Grand Total		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Continent Dis Classification		Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
Africa	Geo Phy Dis	72	9,183	2,087,689	8,755,608
	Hyd Met Dis	1,014	580,418	355,573,021	10,088,950
	Others	672	118,252	42,702,726	102,430
Africa Total		1,758	707,853	400,363,436	18,946,988
Americas	Geo Phy Dis	211	66,595	13,273,112	58,749,032
	Hyd Met Dis	1,612	100,136	142,509,978	387,878,247
	Others	170	14,496	2,998,617	5,670,700
Americas Total		1,993	181,227	158,781,707	452,297,979
Asia	Geo Phy Dis	484	791,205	79,037,713	259,632,686
	Hyd Met Dis	2,492	430,718	4,770,017,633	285,826,431
	Others	314	46,139	18,781,508	19,240,824
Asia Total		3,290	1,268,062	4,867,836,854	564,699,941
Europe	Geo Phy Dis	175	8,724	2,849,335	34,424,376
	Hyd Met Dis	892	44,849	24,127,118	171,433,824
	Others	109	768	3,528,539	3,118,249
Europe Total		1,176	54,341	30,504,992	208,976,449
Oceania	Geo Phy Dis	102	2,976	318,876	2,907,400
	Hyd Met Dis	419	1,547	19,505,345	21,640,121
	Others	38	402	80,799	1,162,006
Oceania Total		559	4,925	19,905,020	25,709,527
Grand Total		8,776	2,216,408	5,477,392,009	1,270,630,884

 Table 11A: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts by Region and Disaster Classification

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, 2006

Continent	Dis Classification	Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
Africa	Geo Phy Dis	0.82%	0.41%	0.04%	0.69%
	Hyd Met Dis	11.55%	26.19%	6.49%	0.79%
	Others	7.66%	5.34%	0.78%	0.01%
Africa Total	-	20.03%	31.94%	7.31%	1.49%
Americas	Geo Phy Dis	2.40%	3.00%	0.24%	4.62%
	Hyd Met Dis	18.37%	4.52%	2.60%	30.53%
	Others	1.94%	0.65%	0.05%	0.45%
Americas Total	mericas Total		8.18%	2.90%	35.60%
Asia	Geo Phy Dis	5.52%	35.70%	1.44%	20.43%
	Hyd Met Dis	28.40%	19.43%	87.09%	22.49%
	Others	3.58%	2.08%	0.34%	1.51%
Asia Total		37.49%	57.21%	88.87%	44.44%
Europe	Geo Phy Dis	1.99%	0.39%	0.05%	2.71%
	Hyd Met Dis	10.16%	2.02%	0.44%	13.49%
	Others	1.24%	0.03%	0.06%	0.25%
Europe Total		13.40%	2.45%	0.56%	16.45%
Oceania	Geo Phy Dis	1.16%	0.13%	0.01%	0.23%
	Hyd Met Dis	4.77%	0.07%	0.36%	1.70%
	Others	0.43%	0.02%	0.00%	0.09%
Oceania Total		6.37%	0.22%	0.36%	2.02%
Grand Total		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Dis Classification	Income class	Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
Geo Phy Dis	HI	174	10,604	6,056,120	247,869,421
	LI	279	347,391	54,437,043	44,484,509
	LMI	461	484,583	32,221,581	46,895,612
	UMI	130	36,105	4,851,981	25,219,560
Geo Phy Dis Total		1,044	878,683	97,566,725	364,469,102
Hyd Met Dis	HI	1,534	51,319	44,889,650	485,809,881
	LI	2,091	916,602	2,759,907,673	68,968,565
	LMI	1,987	135,924	2,418,262,114	266,510,366
	UMI	817	53,823	88,673,658	55,578,761
Hyd Met Dis Total		6,429	1,157,668	5,311,733,095	876,867,573
Others	HI	154	604	2,685,217	8,795,056
	LI	843	160,216	58,755,123	19,263,829
	LMI	217	16,875	5,696,852	618,074
	UMI	89	2,362	954,997	617,250
Others Total		1,303	180,057	68,092,189	29,294,209
Grand Total		8,776	2,216,408	5,477,392,009	1,270,630,884

Table 12A: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts by Disaster Classification and Income Level

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, 2006

 Table 12B: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts by Disaster Classification and Income Level

 (Percentages)

Dis Classification	Income class	Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
Geo Phy Dis	HI	1.98%	0.48%	0.11%	19.51%
	LI	3.18%	15.67%	0.99%	3.50%
	LMI	5.25%	21.86%	0.59%	3.69%
	UMI	1.48%	1.63%	0.09%	1.98%
Geo Phy Dis Total		11.90%	39.64%	1.78%	28.68%
Hyd Met Dis	HI	17.48%	2.32%	0.82%	38.23%
	LI	23.83%	41.36%	50.39%	5.43%
	LMI	22.64%	6.13%	44.15%	20.97%
	UMI	9.31%	2.43%	1.62%	4.37%
Hyd Met Dis Total		73.26%	52.23%	96.98%	69.01%
Others	HI	1.75%	0.03%	0.05%	0.69%
	LI	9.61%	7.23%	1.07%	1.52%
	LMI	2.47%	0.76%	0.10%	0.05%
	UMI	1.01%	0.11%	0.02%	0.05%
Others Total	Others Total		8.12%	1.24%	2.31%
Grand Total		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Income class Dis Classification		Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
HI	Geo Phy Dis	174	10,604	6,056,120	247,869,421
	Hyd Met Dis	1,534	51,319	44,889,650	485,809,881
	Others	154	604	2,685,217	8,795,056
HI Total		1,862	62,527	53,630,987	742,474,358
LI	Geo Phy Dis	279	347,391	54,437,043	44,484,509
	Hyd Met Dis	2,091	916,602	2,759,907,673	68,968,565
	Others	843	160,216	58,755,123	19,263,829
LI Total		3,213	1,424,209	2,873,099,839	132,716,903
LMI	Geo Phy Dis	461	484,583	32,221,581	46,895,612
	Hyd Met Dis	1,987	135,924	2,418,262,114	266,510,366
	Others	217	16,875	5,696,852	618,074
LMI Total		2,665	637,382	2,456,180,547	314,024,052
UMI	Geo Phy Dis	130	36,105	4,851,981	25,219,560
	Hyd Met Dis	817	53,823	88,673,658	55,578,761
	Others	89	2,362	954,997	617,250
UMI Total		1,036	92,290	94,480,636	81,415,571
Grand Total		8,776	2,216,408	5,477,392,009	1,270,630,884

Table	13A:	1975	-2006	Disasters	and	Impacts	bv	Income	Level	and	Disaster	Classifi	cation
14010		1,10	-000			mpaces	~ J	meonie			DISCOUCE	CIGODIII	cauton

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, 2006

 Table 13B: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts by Income Level and Disaster Classification

 (Percentages)

Income class	Income class Dis Classification		Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
HI	Geo Phy Dis	1.98%	0.48%	0.11%	19.51%
	Hyd Met Dis	17.48%	2.32%	0.82%	38.23%
	Others	1.75%	0.03%	0.05%	0.69%
HI Total		21.22%	2.82%	0.98%	58.43%
LI	Geo Phy Dis	3.18%	15.67%	0.99%	3.50%
	Hyd Met Dis	23.83%	41.36%	50.39%	5.43%
	Others	9.61%	7.23%	1.07%	1.52%
LI Total		36.61%	64.26%	52.45%	10.44%
LMI	Geo Phy Dis	5.25%	21.86%	0.59%	3.69%
	Hyd Met Dis	22.64%	6.13%	44.15%	20.97%
	Others	2.47%	0.76%	0.10%	0.05%
LMI Total		30.37%	28.76%	44.84%	24.71%
UMI	Geo Phy Dis	1.48%	1.63%	0.09%	1.98%
	Hyd Met Dis	9.31%	2.43%	1.62%	4.37%
	Others	1.01%	0.11%	0.02%	0.05%
UMI Total		11.80%	4.16%	1.72%	6.41%
Grand Total		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Dis Classification Human development		Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
Geo Phy Dis	HHD	214	10,962	7,948,040	250,056,581
	LHD	81	88,438	6,739,910	5,564,000
	MHD	749	779,283	82,878,775	108,848,521
Geo Phy Dis Total		1,044	878,683	97,566,725	364,469,102
Hyd Met Dis	HHD	1,832	58,661	64,381,795	517,392,129
	LHD	1,197	784,254	720,442,553	24,386,518
	MHD	3,400	314,753	4,526,908,747	335,088,926
Hyd Met Dis Total		6,429	1,157,668	5,311,733,095	876,867,573
Others	HHD	181	875	2,892,354	9,372,806
	LHD	604	122,144	38,177,919	106,930
	MHD	518	57,038	27,021,916	19,814,473
Others Total		1,303	180,057	68,092,189	29,294,209
Grand Total		8,776	2,216,408	5,477,392,009	1,270,630,884

 Table 14A: 1975-2006 World Disaster Classification and Impact Characteristics by Disaster

 Classification and Human Development Level

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, 2006

 Table 14B: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts by Disaster Classification and Human Development

 Level (Percentages)

Dis Classification	Human development	Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
Geo Phy Dis	HHD	2.44%	0.49%	0.15%	19.68%
	LHD	0.92%	3.99%	0.12%	0.44%
	MHD	8.53%	35.16%	1.51%	8.57%
Geo Phy Dis Total		11.90%	39.64%	1.78%	28.68%
Hyd Met Dis	HHD	20.88%	2.65%	1.18%	40.72%
	LHD	13.64%	35.38%	13.15%	1.92%
	MHD	38.74%	14.20%	82.65%	26.37%
Hyd Met Dis Total		73.26%	52.23%	96.98%	69.01%
Others	HHD	2.06%	0.04%	0.05%	0.74%
	LHD	6.88%	5.51%	0.70%	0.01%
	MHD	5.90%	2.57%	0.49%	1.56%
Others Total		14.85%	8.12%	1.24%	2.31%
Grand Total		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

Human development	Dis Classification	Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
HHD	Geo Phy Dis	214	10,962	7,948,040	250,056,581
	Hyd Met Dis	1,832	58,661	64,381,795	517,392,129
	Others	181	875	2,892,354	9,372,806
HHD Total		2,227	70,498	75,222,189	776,821,516
LHD	Geo Phy Dis	81	88,438	6,739,910	5,564,000
	Hyd Met Dis	1,197	784,254	720,442,553	24,386,518
	Others	604	122,144	38,177,919	106,930
LHD Total		1,882	994,836	765,360,382	30,057,448
MHD	Geo Phy Dis	749	779,283	82,878,775	108,848,521
	Hyd Met Dis	3,400	314,753	4,526,908,747	335,088,926
	Others	518	57,038	27,021,916	19,814,473
MHD Total		4,667	1,151,074	4,636,809,438	463,751,920
Grand Total		8,776	2,216,408	5,477,392,009	1,270,630,884

 Table 15A: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts by Human Development Level and Disaster

 Classification

Source: CRED-EMDAT, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, 2006

 Table 15B: 1975-2006 Disasters and Impacts by Human Development Level and Disaster

 Classification (Percentages)

Human development	Dis Classification	Count of DisNo	Sum of Killed	Sum of TotAff	Sum of Damage US\$ ('000s)
HHD	Geo Phy Dis	2.44%	0.49%	0.15%	19.68%
	Hyd Met Dis	20.88%	2.65%	1.18%	40.72%
	Others	2.06%	0.04%	0.05%	0.74%
HHD Total		25.38%	3.18%	1.37%	61.14%
LHD	Geo Phy Dis	0.92%	3.99%	0.12%	0.44%
	Hyd Met Dis	13.64%	35.38%	13.15%	1.92%
	Others	6.88%	5.51%	0.70%	0.01%
LHD Total		21.44%	44.89%	13.97%	2.37%
MHD	Geo Phy Dis	8.53%	35.16%	1.51%	8.57%
	Hyd Met Dis	38.74%	14.20%	82.65%	26.37%
	Others	5.90%	2.57%	0.49%	1.56%
MHD Total		53.18%	51.93%	84.65%	36.50%
Grand Total		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

The extent of damage caused by natural disasters is clearly connected to a country's socio-economic level. As in previous years, the disaster statistics and trends for 2006 show that disaster management and post-disaster activities are crucial to sustainable development. In 2006, as in many previous years, the impacts of natural disasters were closely related to poverty, education, quality of health, gender related issues, and changing policy scenarios in relation to global socio-economic characteristics and stakeholder partnerships. Hence, disaster mitigation and management strategies must incorporate these components to create a holistic disaster management approach that includes strategies for sustainable development.